

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

FROM

JAN 29 2007 (MON) JAN 29 2007 17:31/ST. 17:31/NO. 6329645580 P 1

**CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION BY FACSIMILE (37 CFR 1.8)**

Applicant(s): Christopher S. Caldwell et al.

Docket No.

RTI-5

Application No.

10/689,472

Filing Date

10/20/2003

Examiner

Tara L. Mayo

Group Art Unit

3671  
~~3604~~

Invention: Shrink Fit Centralizer Assembly and Method

I hereby certify that this Response to Office Action dated November 28, 2006  
(Identify type of correspondence)

is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office (Fax. No. 571-273-8300)

on January 29, 2007  
(Date)

**Cathy Hayes**

(Typed or Printed Name of Person Signing Certificate)



(Signature)

Note: Each paper must have its own certificate of mailing.

P1&REV02

FROM

(MON) JAN 29 2007 17:31/ST. 17:31/NO. 6329645580 P 2

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER  
JAN 29 2007

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Appl. No.:	10/689,472	§ Confirmation No.:	7924
Applicant:	Christopher S. Caldwell et al.	§	§
Filed:	10/20/2003	§	§
TC/A.U.:	3671	§	§
Examiner:	Mayo, Tara L.	§	§
Title:	<i>Shrink Fit Centralizer Assembly and Method</i>	§	§
Docket No.:	RTI-5	§	§

RESPONSE

Mail Stop AF  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Applicant notes with appreciation that the objections to Claims 2, 5, 19-21, 33 and 38 have been overcome by Applicant's response filed August 22, 2006.

Turning to the art rejection, Claims 1-3, 10, 11, 34-36, 43 and 44 stand rejected as anticipated by Halkyard (U.S. Patent No. 5,683,205). The rejection is again respectfully traversed.

Applicant respectfully submits that the Examiner has misconstrued what independent Claims 1 and 34 claim vis-à-vis the Halkyard reference. First of all with respect to Claim 1, the Examiner on page 3 of the Office Action has stated: